

Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 5/06 – Anchorages for Seatbelts) 2018

Made under section 7 of the *Motor Vehicle Standards Act 1989*

Explanatory Statement

Issued by the authority of the Assistant Minister to the Deputy Prime Minister

November 2018

CONTENTS

1.	LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT	3
2.	CONTENT AND EFFECT OF ADR 5/06 – ANCHORAGES FOR SEATBELTS	3
2.1.	Overview of the ADR.....	3
2.2.	Effect of the ADR.....	3
2.3.	Incorporated Documents.....	3
3.	BEST PRACTICE REGULATION	4
3.1.	Benefits and Costs	4
3.2.	General Consultation Arrangements.....	4
3.3.	Specific Consultation Arrangements for this Vehicle Standard	5
4.	STATEMENT OF COMPATIBILITY WITH HUMAN RIGHTS	5
4.1.	Overview of the Legislative Instrument	5
4.2.	Human Rights Implications	5
4.3.	Conclusion	5

1. LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

The Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 5/06 – Anchorages for Seatbelts) 2018, is made under the *Motor Vehicle Standards Act 1989* (the Act). The Act enables the Australian Government to establish nationally uniform standards that apply to new road vehicles when they are first supplied to the market in Australia. The Act applies to such vehicles whether they are manufactured in Australia or imported.

The making of vehicle standards necessary for the Act's effective operation is provided for in section 7 which empowers the Minister to “determine vehicle standards for road vehicles or vehicle components”.

The Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 5/06 – Anchorages for Seatbelts) 2018 (ADR 5/06) is being made to replace the Vehicle Standard (Australian Design Rule 5/05 – Anchorages for Seatbelts) 2006 (ADR 5/05), which was originally determined in 2006 and has since been amended by one subsequent determination.

2. CONTENT AND EFFECT OF ADR 5/06 – ANCHORAGES FOR SEATBELTS

2.1. Overview of the ADR

This vehicle standard prescribes requirements for seatbelt anchorages so that seatbelts may be adequately secured to the vehicle structure or seat and will meet comfort requirements in use.

The technical content of this vehicle standard has been taken from the international standard, the United Nations (UN) Regulation No. 14 (R 14).

2.2. Effect of the ADR

The standard will apply to category LEP and LEG vehicles (motor tricycles), and all category M (passenger vehicles and omnibuses) and N vehicles (goods vehicles). New model vehicles will need to be certified to this standard from 1 July 2019. There is no mandatory application date for all other vehicles. They may comply with this vehicle standard or continue to comply with earlier versions of this vehicle standard as applicable for particular vehicle categories.

The main differences from the previous version (ADR 5/05) include an update to Appendix A to incorporate the 08 series of amendments to UN R14, and the inclusion of UN R14/07 and UN R14/08 as the alternative standards (replacing UN R14/06).

2.3. Incorporated Documents

Clause 7 of this standard incorporates references to UN R 14.

Appendix A of this standard incorporates references to the Consolidated Resolution on the Construction of Vehicles (R.E.3.) – document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/78/Rev.4, Regulation No. 14 (R 14), Regulation No. 16 (R 16), Regulation No. 21 (R 21), Regulation No. 80 (R 80), Regulation No. 94 (R 94), and Regulation No. 107 (R 107).

In accordance with subsections 14(1)(b) and 14(2) of the *Legislation Act 2003*, each standard incorporated by reference is incorporated as in force at the commencement of the Determination.

The Consolidated Resolution on the Construction of Vehicles (R.E.3.) – document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/78/Rev.4, and the UN Regulations (including R 14, R 16, R 21, R 80, R94 and R 107) may be freely accessed online through the UN World Forum for the Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29). The WP.29 website is www.unece.org/trans/main/welcwp29.html.

3. BEST PRACTICE REGULATION

3.1. Benefits and Costs

This vehicle standard will have a neutral regulatory impact, including in terms of both the benefits and costs of regulation.

3.2. General Consultation Arrangements

It has been longstanding practice to consult widely on proposed new or amended vehicle standards. For many years there has been active collaboration between the Commonwealth and the state and territory governments, as well as consultation with industry and consumer groups. Much of the consultation takes place within institutional arrangements established for this purpose. The analysis and documentation prepared in a particular case, and the bodies consulted, depend on the degree of impact the new or amended standard is expected to have on industry or road users.

Depending on the nature of the proposed changes, consultation could involve the Technical Liaison Group (TLG) and the Australian Motor Vehicle Certification Board (AMVCB), the Strategic Vehicle Safety and Environment Group (SVSEG) and the Safe Vehicles Theme Group (SVTG), the Transport and Infrastructure Senior Officials' Committee (TISOC) and the Transport and Infrastructure Council (the Council).

- TLG consists of technical representatives of government (Australian and state/territory), the manufacturing and operational arms of the industry (including organisations such as the Federal Chamber of Automotive Industries and the Australian Trucking Association) and of representative organisations of consumers and road users (particularly through the Australian Automobile Association). AMVCB consists of the government members of TLG.
- SVSEG consists of senior representatives of government (Australian and state/territory), the manufacturing and operational arms of the industry and of representative organisations of consumers and road users (at a higher level within each organisation as represented in TLG). SVTG consists of the government members of SVSEG.
- TISOC consists of state and territory transport and/or infrastructure Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) (or equivalents), the CEO of the National Transport Commission, New Zealand and the Australian Local Government Association.
- The Council consists of the Australian, state/territory and New Zealand Ministers with responsibility for transport and infrastructure issues.

Editorial changes and changes to correct errors are processed by the Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities (the Department). This approach is only used where the amendments do not vary the intent of the vehicle standard.

Proposals that are regarded as significant need to be supported by a Regulation Impact Statement meeting the requirements of the Office of Best Practice Regulation (OBPR) as published in the *Australian Government Guide to Regulation* and the Council of Australian Government's *Best Practice Regulation: A Guide for Ministerial Councils and Standard-Setting Bodies*.

3.3. Specific Consultation Arrangements for this Vehicle Standard

This standard was proposed, discussed and supported during 2018 at meetings of the AMVCB, TLG and SVSEG. It was acknowledged that these groups are at the appropriate level to consider this type of change. A draft ADR was also provided in June 2018 as part of the consultation process to the AMVCB and the TLG.

The Department consulted with the OBPR within the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet on this standard. A Regulation Impact Statement is not required, as the decision maker is not the Australian Government's Cabinet, and this vehicle standard will have a neutral regulatory impact. The OBPR reference number is 24187.

4. STATEMENT OF COMPATIBILITY WITH HUMAN RIGHTS

The following Statement is prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

4.1. Overview of the Legislative Instrument

This vehicle standard prescribes requirements for seatbelt anchorages so that seatbelts may be adequately secured to the vehicle structure or seat and will meet comfort requirements in use.

4.2. Human Rights Implications

ADR 5/06 does not engage any of the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

4.3. Conclusion

ADR 5/06 is compatible with human rights as it does not raise any human rights issues.