**EXPLANATORY STATEMENT**

*Therapeutic Goods Act 1989*

*Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2019*

The *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”) provides for the establishment and maintenance of a national system of controls for the quality, safety, efficacy and timely availability of therapeutic goods that are used in, or exported from, Australia. The Act is administered by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (“the TGA”) within the Australian Government Department of Health.

Section 26BB of the Act relevantly provides that the Minister of Health may, by legislative instrument, make a determination specifying ingredients and, for some or all of those ingredients, requirements in relation to those ingredients being contained in a medicine. Under subsections 26BB(2), (2A) and (3) of the Act, such requirements may relate to particular ingredients not being contained in particular medicines or being contained in particular medicines only in specified circumstances, or to permitted concentrations or total amounts of an ingredient in a medicine.

Legislative instruments made under section 26BB of the Act are designed to specify those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (“the Register”) under section 26A or 26AE of the Act, and to specify requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines.

The Determination repeals and replaces the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3) 2019* (“the former Determination”), and specifies those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Register, and requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines.

**Background**

Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26A of the Act are considered to be low risk and are not individually evaluated before those medicines are listed. Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26AE of the Act are also considered to be low risk, but are evaluated in relation to whether the efficacy of the medicine for the purposes for which it is to be used has been satisfactorily established (these purposes are specific efficacy claims for which the sponsor of the medicine holds supporting evidence). When listed under section 26AE, these listed medicines are commonly referred to as ‘assessed listed medicines’.

As the safety and quality of medicines listed under sections 26A and 26AE are not evaluated by the TGA before being given marketing approval, the Act contains mechanisms to help ensure that those medicines are of appropriate quality and able to be used safely by consumers. In particular, medicines listed under section 26A and 26AE may only contain ingredients from an approved list of ingredients that have been evaluated in relation to their quality and safety and suitability for use in such medicines. Sponsors of such medicines may also only use indications (statements of therapeutic use) from a list of pre-approved low level indications to ensure that these products do not overstate their therapeutic benefits.

Under paragraphs 26A(2)(ca) and (cb) of the Act, persons applying to list a medicine in the Register under section 26A of the Act must certify that the medicine does not contain an ingredient that is not specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act; and does not contravene a requirement in relation to such an ingredient that is specified in such a determination. Paragraphs 26AB(2)(d) and (e) contain equivalent certification requirements for applicants seeking marketing approval in relation to assessed listed medicines. A listed (or assessed listed) medicine may be cancelled from the Register if it appears to the Secretary that such a certification is incorrect.

Separately, items 3, 4A, 5, 7 and 8 of Schedule 4 to the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990*, which identifies those therapeutic goods that are eligible for listing in the Register, require that, in order for the goods mentioned in each of those items to be eligible for listing, the goods must only contain ingredients that are specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act and must not contravene a requirement in such a determination.

As such, determinations under section 26BB are designed to provide a comprehensive list of ingredients which have been assessed or otherwise considered to be safe for use in listed medicines (including assessed listed medicines), and associated requirements to be followed when using particular ingredients in such products. These requirements may relate to a range of matters including, for example, how an ingredient may be used in a medicine or the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels.

The requirements imposed under the Determination are principally designed to ensure or support the quality and safety of listed medicines that contain permitted ingredients. The requirements may relate to, for example:

* how a permitted ingredient is to be used in the medicine, that is, as an active, homoeopathic or excipient ingredient;
* the intended method of ingestion or application of the medicine, for example, oral or topical use;
* the source of the ingredient, or procedures to be followed in its manufacture;
* appropriate limits on the volume or concentration of an ingredient; and
* the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels, for example, allergen advice or advice about the use of medicine containing the ingredient for susceptible members of the population such as children and pregnant women.

The Determination incorporates a number of changes in comparison to the former Determination, including in particular:

* changes to the applicable requirements for 29 ingredients containing menthol or methyl salicylate, to reduce the level of regulation associated with the use of these ingredients.
* changes to the ingredient *Andrographis paniculata* to require an additional label warning statement related to allergic reactions for medicines containing that ingredient.
* a minor change to the ingredient ‘rye bran’ for consistency with other gluten-containing ingredients.
* minor changes to the names of 2 ingredients following changes in the Australian Approved Names List (as defined in the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990*). These ingredients are currently only permitted as excipient ingredients of proprietary ingredient formulations.

**Consultation**

The TGA conducted a safety review of the ingredients menthol and methyl salicylate and published the outcome of the review in June 2018. New warning statements were introduced for medicines containing these ingredients at that time. In October 2019 the TGA was contacted by sponsors of listed medicines who sought an exemption from the warning statement requirements for menthol and methyl salicylate when included in low concentrations. Sponsors also provided the TGA with safety information and a justification supporting the reconsideration of the warning statements. The TGA further considered the safety of these ingredients, and as a result the changes relaxing the requirements for these ingredients are reflected in the Determination. Industry have indicated that they support these changes as a positive outcome.

*Andrographis paniculata* has been the subject of on-going review by the TGA since 2010 following reports of allergic-type reactions (including anaphylaxis). The TGA drafted a safety report and sought feedback in 2015 from peak industry bodies Complementary Medicines Australia (“CMA”), and Consumer Health Products Australia (“CHP Australia” – formerly known as ASMI). At that time industry were in favour of continued monitoring of adverse drug reactions and reviewing and assessing the effectiveness of the risk mitigation strategy that was undertaken by the TGA – which comprised of publishing the [safety report](https://www.tga.gov.au/alert/safety-review-andrographis-paniculata-and-anaphylacticallergic-reactions) and [safety alert](https://www.tga.gov.au/alert/products-containing-andrographis-paniculata). Continued monitoring of adverse events highlighted that further risk mitigation by the TGA is required. In October 2019, the TGA consulted on the proposed risk mitigation approach with consumer and health professional bodies being the [Consumer Health Forum of Australia](https://www.chf.org.au/about-chf), [Allergy & Anaphylaxis Australia](https://allergyfacts.org.au/about), and the [Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy](https://www.allergy.org.au/about-ascia). These stakeholders supported introducing a new warning statement in relation to the risk of allergy and anaphylaxis for listed medicines with this ingredient. Peak industry bodies CMA and CHP Australia were consulted at the same time but were not supportive of the proposed approach. They did, however, acknowledge the safety risks identified by the TGA. The TGA considered all of the feedback, and amended the proposal to balance these concerns. This included revising and reducing the length of the proposed new label warning statement for medicines containing this ingredient to improve clarity for consumers while minimising the impact on sponsors from needing to include lengthier warning statements; and providing sponsors of affected existing medicines with sufficient time (to 2 May 2020) to update their medicine labels.

The Office of Best Practice (OBPR) has advised that a regulation impact statement is not required in relation to this issue (OBPR reference 21645).

The OBPR has also previously advised that a regulatory impact statement is not required for updates to determinations made under section 26BB of the Act that are minor or machinery in nature, including the introduction of new permitted ingredients, corrections of errors, clarifications of requirements and ingredient names, changes to reflect scheduling decisions in the Poisons Standard or the outcomes of TGA safety evaluations where the regulatory impacts are minor or machinery in nature (OBPR references 14416, 20999 and 21645).

**Incorporation by reference**

The Determination references each of the British Pharmacopoeia, European Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopeia-National Formulary, and the note in section 4 of the Determination makes it clear that each of these pharmacopoeia are those as defined in subsection 3(1) of the Act.

The definitions of the pharmacopoeia in subsection 3(1) of the Act refer to the publications of each as in effect immediately before the commencement of the relevant definition in the Act, and to any subsequent amendments or editions. The intention in this Determination is therefore to adopt the defined meaning of the pharmacopoeia as set out in subsection 3(1) of the Act (an approach permitted by subsection 10(4) of the Act). That pharmacopoeia may be accessed from [www.pharmacopoeia.com/](http://www.pharmacopoeia.com/), [www.edqm.eu/en/european-pharmacopoeia-ph-eur-9th-edition](http://www.edqm.eu/en/european-pharmacopoeia-ph-eur-9th-edition) and [www.uspnf.com/](http://www.uspnf.com/).

The Determination also adopts the Food Chemicals Codex (“the FCC”) published by the United States Pharmacopeial Convention (available at [www.online.foodchemicalscodex.org](http://www.online.foodchemicalscodex.org)). The intended manner of adoption of the FCC is also as it is in force or existing from time to time. The Determination adopts each of the *Animal Products Act 1999* and the *Animal Welfare Act 1999* of New Zealand on the same basis. These Acts are available for free from [www.legislation.govt.nz/](http://www.legislation.govt.nz/).

The Determination also includes a reference to a World Health Organization publication ‘*Expert consultation on oral rehydration salts formulation*’, dated 18 July 2001. This document is available for free from [www.rehydrate.org/ors/expert-consultation.html](http://www.rehydrate.org/ors/expert-consultation.html).

While unfortunately the pharmacopoeia and the FCC are not available for free, it is anticipated that the persons most affected by their adoption in this Determination (sponsors of medicines included in the Register and other interested persons in the medicines industry using the Determination), would be in possession of these documents in order to manufacture medicines or ingredients. As important international benchmarks for the safety and quality of therapeutic goods, it would be infeasible from a regulatory perspective (particularly in relation to the safety of listed medicines that are not, for the most part, evaluated before being given marketing approval) to not adopt such benchmarks on the basis that the publications are not available for free.

However, by prior written arrangement with the TGA, members of the public may request to view the pharmacopoeia and the FCC without charge at the TGA office in Symonston, ACT.

It should also be noted, in relation to the pharmacopoeia, that the National Library’s Trove online system ([www.trove.nla.gov.au/](http://www.trove.nla.gov.au/)) allows users to identify libraries in Australia that are open to the public where editions (in most cases, earlier editions) of the pharmacopoeia may be viewed (for example, the University of Tasmania or the University of Western Australia in relation to the British Pharmacopoeia). Members of the public may also approach any library that participates in inter-library loans with those university libraries to request an inter-library loan, or to obtain a photocopy of a particular part or monograph for personal study or research (but not for commercial purposes), at a usual cost of $16.50 per request (enquiries should be made with local libraries, State libraries and the National Library).

For example, Trove indicates that free access to the 2004 version of the European Pharmacopoeia is available through Open University ([www.openlibrary.org/books/OL22071008M/European\_pharmacopoeia](http://www.openlibrary.org/books/OL22071008M/European_pharmacopoeia)), and that access to the 1996 edition of the FCC is available at the University of Melbourne Library and the Hawksbury Campus Library of the Western Sydney University, which are both open to the public.

Details of the Determination are set out in **Attachment A**.

The Determination is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared under section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*. A full statement of compatibility is set out in **Attachment B**.

This Determination is a disallowable legislative instrument for the purposes of the *Legislation Act 2003*, and commences on 1 January 2020.**Attachment A**

**Details of the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2019***

**Section 1 Name**

This section provides that the name of the instrument is the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2019* (“the Determination”).

**Section 2 Commencement**

This section provides that the Determination commences on 1 January 2020.

**Section 3 Authority**

This section provides that the legislative authority for making the Determination is subsection 26BB(1) of the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”).

**Section 4 Interpretation**

Subsection 4(1) provides definitions for a number of terms used in the Determination. These include ‘code tables’, ‘excipient’, ‘homoeopathic preparation ingredient’ and ‘TGA eBusiness Services’.

The note to this section also makes it clear that a number of expressions used in the Determination have the same meaning as in the Act, for example ‘British Pharmacopoeia’, ‘European Pharmacopoeia’ and ‘United States Pharmacopeia-National Formulary’.

Subsection 4(2) provides that the terms set out in closed brackets in column 4 of the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination that are associated with warning statements in relation to particular ingredients, are terms from the code tables under the heading ‘Indications’ or ‘Product Warning’, and are not required to be included on the label of the medicine.

**Section 5 Permissible ingredients**

This section provides that the ingredients specified in column 2 of the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination are specified for the purposes of paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act.

**Section 6 Requirements in relation to permissible ingredients being contained in medicine**

This section provides that for an ingredient mentioned in column 2 of an item in the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination, the requirements in paragraphs 6(a) to (c) are specified for the purposes of paragraph 26BB(1)(b) of the Act. These include, for example, that the ingredient must only be used in a medicine for a purpose specified in relation to the ingredient in column 3 of that item.

**Section 7 Repeals**

This section provides that each instrument that is specified in Schedule 2 to the Determination is repealed as set out in the applicable items in that Schedule.

**Schedule 1 – Specified permissible ingredients and requirements applying to these ingredients when contained in a medicine**

This Schedule specifies ingredients and related requirements for the purposes of sections 5 and 6 of the Determination.

**Schedule 2 – Repeals**

This Schedule repeals the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3) 2019.*

**Attachment B**

**Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights**

*Prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011.*

***Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2019***

This disallowable legislative instrument is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

**Overview of the Legislative Instrument**

The *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2019* (“the instrument”) is made by a delegate of the Minister under subsection 26BB(1) of the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”)*.*

The purpose of the instrument is to specify those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (“the Register”) under section 26A or 26AE of the Act, and requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines. The instrument also repeals and replaces the existing *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3)* 2019 (“the former instrument”).

Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26A of the Act are considered to be low risk and are not individually evaluated before they are listed. Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26AE of the Act are also considered to be low risk, but are evaluated in relation to whether the efficacy of the medicine for the purposes for which it is to be used has been satisfactorily established (these purposes are specific efficacy claims for which the sponsor of the medicine holds supporting evidence). When listed under section 26AE, these listed medicines are commonly referred to as ‘assessed listed medicines’.

As the safety and quality of medicines listed under section 26A and 26AE are not evaluated by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (“the TGA”) before being given marketing approval, the Act contains mechanisms to help ensure that listed medicines are of appropriate quality and able to be used safely by consumers. In particular, listed medicines may only contain ingredients from an approved list of ingredients that have been evaluated in relation to their quality and safety and suitability for use in such medicines.

Under paragraphs 26A(2)(ca) and (cb) of the Act, persons applying to list a medicine in the Register under section 26A of the Act must certify that their medicine does not contain an ingredient that is not specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act, and does not contravene a requirement in relation to such an ingredient that is specified in such a determination. Paragraphs 26AB(2)(d) and (e) set out equivalent certification requirements for applicants seeking marketing approval for an assessed listed medicine. A listed (or assessed listed) medicine may be cancelled from the Register if it appears to the Secretary that such a certification is incorrect.

Compliance with the instrument is also an important part of the criteria for eligibility for listing of medicines in the Register set out in Schedule 4 to the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990*. Medicines that are not eligible for listing because those medicines do not comply with these criteria (including compliance with the instrument) would be required to be registered, rather than listed, in the Register (a considerably more lengthy and costly exercise).

The instrument is designed to provide a comprehensive list of ingredients which have been assessed or otherwise considered to be safe for use in listed (and assessed listed) medicines, and associated requirements to be followed when using particular ingredients in such products, to support the overall safety of these products for consumers.

The requirements imposed under the instrument principally relate to ensuring the quality and safety of the ingredient when used. Requirements may relate, for example, to:

* how the ingredient is to be used in the medicine, that is, as an active, homoeopathic or excipient ingredient;
* the intended method of ingestion or application of the medicine, for example, oral or topical use;
* the source of the ingredient, or procedures to be followed in its manufacture;
* appropriate limits on the volume or concentration of an ingredient; and
* the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels, for example, allergen advice or advice about the use of medicine containing the ingredient for susceptible members of the population such as children and pregnant women.

The instrument repeals and replaces the former instrument, and incorporates a number of changes in comparison to the former instrument, including in particular:

* changes to the applicable requirements for 29 ingredients containing menthol or methyl salicylate, to reduce the level of regulation associated with the use of these ingredients.
* a minor change to the ingredient ‘rye bran’ for consistency with other gluten-containing ingredients.
* change to *Andrographis paniculata* to add a warning statement for the medicine label to address safety concerns for medicines containing this ingredient.
* minor changes to the names of 2 ingredients following changes in the Australian Approved Names List (as defined in the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990*). These ingredients are currently only permitted as ingredients of proprietary ingredient formulations.

**Human rights implications**

The instrument engages the right to health in Article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (“the ICESCR”). Article 12 of the ICESCR promotes the right of all individuals to enjoy the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, and includes an obligation to take reasonable measures within available resources to progressively secure broader enjoyment of the right. In *General Comment No. 14: The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health (Art. 12)* (2000), the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights states that health is a ‘fundamental human right indispensable for the exercise of other human rights’, and that the right to health is not to be understood as the right to be healthy, but includes the right to a system of health protection which provides equal opportunity for people to enjoy the highest attainable level of health.

The instrument takes positive steps to promote the right to health by ensuring the safety and quality of therapeutic goods that are listed medicines. By prescribing those ingredients that are considered to be safe for use in listed medicines, and by setting out important requirements relating to the use of those ingredients in such medicines (such requirements may relate, for example, to maximum concentrations of such ingredients in listed medicines, or to the inclusion of warning statements on medicine labels such as ‘Keep out of reach of children’ for relevant ingredients), the safety of Australian consumers will be better protected, and they will be better able to make informed decisions about such medicines.

This is particularly important for listed medicines, given that those medicines are not evaluated for safety and quality by the TGA prior to listing in the Register, and as they are usually available for self-selection by consumers without a requirement to first obtain the advice or prescription of a registered medical doctor, or the advice of a pharmacist.

**Conclusion**

This legislative instrument is compatible with human rights because it promotes the right to health in Article 12 of the ICESCR and does not raise any other human rights issues.

**Cheryl McRae, delegate of the Minister for Health**