

Explanatory Statement

Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998

CASA EX150/21 – Amendment of CASA EX85/21 – Instrument 2021

Purpose

The purpose of this exemption instrument is to insert a number of additional exemptions into *CASA EX85/21 – Part 135, Subpart 121.Z and Part 91 of CASR – Supplementary Exemptions and Directions Instrument 2021 (CASA EX85/21 or the **principal exemptions instrument**)*.

CASA EX85/21 makes a number of exemptions against Part 135, Subpart 121.Z and Part 91 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (CASR)* that will facilitate implementation of Part 135 and Subpart 121.Z of CASR in accordance with CASA's transition policies for these new Parts. Part 135 deals with Australian air transport operations in smaller aeroplanes. Part 91 contains the rules of the air. Aeroplane operations under Subpart 121.Z are subject to requirements of Part 135.

The previous exemptions were designed to correct technical errors and omissions in Part 135 which gave rise to unintended obligations. These errors and omissions will be rectified in the next set of amendments to the Part but, in the meantime, until those amendments are made, it was considered necessary to use exemptions to provide relief from having to comply with certain requirements and directions to ensure safety compliance. The new Parts commence on 2 December 2021.

On further review of Part 135, it was considered that some additional exemptions were required.

Legislation — exemptions

Section 98 of the Act empowers the Governor-General to make regulations for the *Civil Aviation Act 1988* (the *Act*) and the safety of air navigation.

Subpart 11.F of CASR deals with exemptions. Under subregulation 11.160 (1), and for subsection 98 (5A) of the Act, CASA may, by instrument, grant an exemption from a provision of CASR in relation to a matter mentioned in subsection 98 (5A). Subsection 98 (5A) matters are, in effect, those affecting the safety, airworthiness or design of aircraft.

Under subregulation 11.160 (2), an exemption may be granted to a person or a class of persons. Under subregulation 11.160 (3), CASA may grant an exemption on application, or on its own initiative. Under subregulation 11.170 (3), for an application for an exemption, CASA must regard as paramount the preservation of an acceptable level of safety. For making a decision on its own initiative, CASA is guided by the requirement in subsection 9A (1) of the Act that in exercising its powers and functions CASA must regard the safety of air navigation as the most important consideration.

Under regulation 11.205, CASA may impose conditions on an exemption if this is necessary in the interests of the safety of air navigation. Under regulation 11.210, it is a strict liability offence not to comply with the obligations imposed by a condition. Under regulation 11.225, CASA must, as soon as practicable, publish on the internet details of all exemptions under Subpart 11.F.

Legislation — directions

Under paragraph 11.245 (1) (a) of CASR, for subsection (5A) of the Act, CASA may, by instrument, issue a direction about any matter affecting the safe navigation and operation of aircraft. Under subregulation 11.245 (2), CASA may issue such a direction only if CASA is satisfied that it is necessary in the interests of safety, only if the direction is not inconsistent with the Act, and only for the purposes of CASA's functions.

Under regulation 11.250, a direction ceases to be in force on a day specified in the instrument or, if no day is specified, 1 year after the instrument commences. Under subregulation 11.255 (1), it is an offence to contravene a direction under regulation 11.245 that is applicable to the person.

Although no new directions are included in the exemption instrument, the empowerment is retained for consistency with the empowerment of the principal exemptions instrument.

Description of supplementary exemptions

Section 1 provides for the naming of the *CASA EX150/21 – Amendment of CASA EX85/21 – Instrument 2021*.

Section 2 provides for the commencement of the instrument on 2 December 2021.

Section 3 provides that the instrument amends *CASA EX85/21 – Part 135, Subpart 121.Z and Part 91 of CASR – Supplementary Exemptions and Directions Instrument 2021*.

Schedule 1 Amendments

Schedule 1 provides for additional exemptions for Australian air transport operators and pilots of an aeroplane for a flight that is a Part 135 operation or a Subpart 121.Z operation. Schedule 1 contains new definitions for ***aeroplane of a relevant type or class*** and ***flight crew member proficiency check***, as well as new sections 9A to 9C.

9A Ground support personnel

Section 9A provides that that an operator of a flight that is a Part 135 or Subpart 121.Z operation is exempt from the requirement in subregulations 135.125 (1) and (3) of CASR for ground support personnel – other than direct employees employed by the operator under a contract of service – to have successfully completed training and be assessed as competent before carrying out a ground support duty for a flight. Section 9A also sets out the conditions that must be met for an operator to utilise the exemption.

9B Ground support personnel – direct employees

Section 9B provides that that an operator of a flight that is a Part 135 or Subpart 121.Z operation is exempt from the requirement in subregulations 135.125 (1) and (3) of CASR for ground support personnel – direct employees employed by the operator under a contract of service – to have successfully completed training and be assessed as competent before carrying out a ground support duty for a flight. Section 9B also sets out the conditions that must be met for an operator to utilise the exemption.

9C Recent experience requirements – exemption

Section 9C provides that that the operator of a flight that is a Part 135 or Subpart 121.Z operation and a pilot assigned to duty by the operator for the Part 135 or Subpart 121.Z operation are each exempt from the recent experience requirements in subregulation 135.435 (1) or 135.435 (2), respectively. The recent experience requirements referred to were erroneous in that the experience was to have been obtained in a “kind” of aeroplane — a narrow concept in its relevant applicability which would have required pilots to obtain unnecessary multiple episodes of recent experience for different “kinds” of aircraft. CASA’s intent had been that prescribed recent experience be obtained from the broader designation of an *aeroplane of a relevant type or class*, namely:

- (a) an aeroplane of a particular class, within the meaning of class in regulation 61.020 of CASR, other than an aeroplane mentioned in paragraph (b) or (c); and
- (b) a multi-crew aircraft for which a legislative instrument, in accordance with paragraph 61.055 (1) (a) of CASR, has prescribed type ratings that may be granted for a multi-crew operation; and
- (c) a type of aircraft for which single-pilot type ratings are required under paragraph 61.060 (1) (a) of CASR, for which a legislative instrument, in accordance with subparagraph 61.060 (1) (b) (i) of CASR, has prescribed the type ratings that may be granted for single-pilot operation.

Section 9C made the exemption conditional on essentially the same kind of experience as prescribed being obtained, but in an aeroplane from the broader range of relevant *aeroplanes of a relevant type or class* rather than “kind”.

Legislation Act 2003 (the LA)

The exemptions in this instrument are for the safe navigation and operation of aircraft and apply to classes of persons. The instrument is a legislative instrument under the Act and the LA and subject to registration, and tabling and disallowance in the Parliament, under sections 15G, and 38 and 42, of the LA.

Consultation

Under section 16 of the Act, in performing its functions and exercising its powers, CASA must consult government, industrial, commercial consumer and other relevant bodies and organisations insofar as CASA considers such consultation to be appropriate.

Under section 17 of the LA, before a legislative instrument is made, CASA must be satisfied that it has undertaken any consultation it considers appropriate and practicable in order to draw on relevant expertise and involve persons likely to be affected by the proposals.

Exemptions from regulatory requirements are considered to be beneficial for those to whom they apply, who voluntarily elect to take advantage of them, and who comply with their conditions. It is, therefore, rarely necessary to engage in extensive public consultation on a proposed exemption. However, it is CASA’s policy to consult, where possible, in an appropriate way with those parts of the aviation industry most likely to avail themselves of, or be affected by, an exemption so that they may have the opportunity to comment on the possible or likely terms, scope and appropriateness of the exemption.

For the *principal exemptions instrument*, CASA consulted the aviation community in June 2020 on the policy proposals that ultimately gave rise to the exemptions and directions instrument. A summary of the consultation feedback and CASA's disposition of comments received was published on the CASA website in December 2020.

In addition, for the *principal exemptions instrument*, from 16 July to 6 August 2021, CASA consulted the aviation community by providing descriptions of the exemptions and directions to the Implementation Technical Working Group (*TWG*) of the Aviation Safety Advisory Panel (*ASAP*) for comment. The ASAP is the primary advisory body through which CASA directs its engagement with industry and seeks input on current and future regulatory and associated policy approaches.

CASA considered all TWG input, and, in finalising this exemption instrument, has also received and considered additional input about transitional issues provided directly by Part 135 operators. CASA advised the TWG of its responses and comments regarding all input from the TWG, and considers that no further consultation is necessary or appropriate.

Office of Best Practice Regulation (OBPR)

A Regulation Impact Statement (*RIS*) is not required because the instrument is covered by a standing agreement between CASA and OBPR under which a RIS is not required for Exemption or Direction instruments (OBPR id: 14507).

Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights

The Statement in Appendix 1 is prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*. The exemption instrument is compatible with human rights: with its aviation safety focus, it promotes both the right to life, and the right to safe and healthy working conditions, and it does so in a way that is reasonable, necessary and proportionate in the context of aviation safety.

Commencement and making

The instrument commences on 2 December 2021.

The instrument has been made by the Director of Aviation Safety, on behalf of CASA, in accordance with subsection 73 (2) of the Act.

Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights

*Prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the
Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*

CASA EX150/21 – Amendment of CASA EX85/21 – Instrument 2021

This legislative instrument is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

Overview of the legislative instrument

The purpose of this exemption instrument is to insert a number of additional exemptions into *CASA EX85/21 – Part 135, Subpart 121.Z and Part 91 of CASR – Supplementary Exemptions and Directions Instrument 2021 (CASA EX85/21* or the ***principal exemptions instrument***).

CASA EX85/21 makes a number of exemptions against Part 135, Subpart 121.Z and Part 91 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (CASR)* that will facilitate implementation of Part 135 and Subpart 121.Z of CASR in accordance with CASA's transition policies for these new Parts. Part 135 deals with Australian air transport operations in smaller aeroplanes. Part 91 contains the rules of the air. Aeroplane operations under Subpart 121.Z are subject to requirements of Part 135.

The previous exemptions were designed to correct technical errors and omissions in Part 135 which gave rise to unintended obligations. These errors and omissions will be rectified in the next set of amendments to the Part but, in the meantime, until those amendments are made, it was considered necessary to use exemptions to provide relief from having to comply with certain requirements and directions to ensure safety compliance. The new Parts commence on 2 December 2021.

On further review of Part 135, it was considered that some additional exemptions were required.

Human rights implications

The legislative instrument engages with the following human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*:

- the right to life under Article 6 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (the ***ICCPR***)
- the right to safe and healthy working conditions under Article 7 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (the ***ICESCR***).

Right to life under the ICCPR

Right to safe and healthy working conditions under the ICESCR

The instrument is a transitional measure first, to broaden the categories of ground support personnel provided they are sufficiently capable or supervised, and secondly to broaden the range of aircraft in which relevant recency experience could be obtained.

In relation to support personnel, although the instrument contains exemptions from various provisions of the relevant FOR, it does so in the context of substitute, and acceptable, conditions in the interests of aviation safety. To the extent that the conditions are expected to play a critical part in the avoidance of accidents and incidents, the instrument may reasonably be said to support the right to life, and to contribute to safe and healthy working conditions on board aircraft operated in accordance with the relevant conditions.

In relation to recency experience, broadening, in an acceptable way, the range of aircraft in which relevant recency experience might be obtained, would have no adverse effect on human rights.

Human rights implications

This legislative instrument is compatible with human rights and to the extent that it may engage certain rights it does so in a way that promotes the right to life and promotes safe and healthy working conditions on board relevant aircraft. These measures are considered to be reasonable, necessary and proportionate in the interests of aviation safety.

Conclusion

This legislative instrument is compatible with human rights.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority