

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

Therapeutic Goods Act 1989

Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022

The *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”) provides for the establishment and maintenance of a national system of controls for the quality, safety, efficacy and timely availability of therapeutic goods that are used in, or exported from, Australia. The Act is administered by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (“the TGA”) within the Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care.

Subsection 26BB(1) of the Act relevantly provides that the Minister may, by legislative instrument, make a determination specifying ingredients and, for some or all of those ingredients, requirements in relation to those ingredients being contained in a medicine. Under subsections 26BB(2), (2A) and (3) of the Act, such requirements may relate to particular ingredients not being contained in particular medicines or being contained in particular medicines only in specified circumstances or to permitted concentrations or total amounts of an ingredient in a medicine.

Legislative instruments made under section 26BB of the Act are designed to specify those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (“the Register”) under section 26A or 26AE of the Act, and to specify requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines.

The *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022* (“the Determination”) repeals and replaces the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3) 2022* (“the former Determination”), and specifies those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Register and requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines.

Background

Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26A of the Act are considered to be low risk and are not individually evaluated before those medicines are listed. Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26AE of the Act are also considered to be low risk, but are evaluated in relation to whether the efficacy of the medicine for the purposes for which it is to be used has been satisfactorily established (these purposes are specific efficacy claims for which the sponsor of the medicine holds supporting evidence). When listed under section 26AE, these listed medicines are commonly referred to as ‘assessed listed medicines’.

As the safety and quality of medicines listed under sections 26A and 26AE are not evaluated by the TGA before being given marketing approval, the Act contains mechanisms to help ensure that those medicines are of appropriate quality and able to be used safely by consumers. In particular, medicines listed under section 26A and 26AE may only contain

ingredients from an approved list of ingredients that have been evaluated in relation to their quality and safety and suitability for use in such medicines. Sponsors of such medicines may also only use indications (statements of therapeutic use) from a list of pre-approved low-level indications to ensure that these products do not overstate their therapeutic benefits.

Under paragraphs 26A(2)(ca) and (cb) of the Act, persons applying to list a medicine in the Register under section 26A of the Act must certify that the medicine does not contain an ingredient that is not specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act; and does not contravene a requirement in relation to such an ingredient that is specified in such a determination. Paragraphs 26AB(2)(d) and (e) contain equivalent certification requirements for applicants seeking marketing approval in relation to assessed listed medicines. A listed (or assessed listed) medicine may be cancelled from the Register if it appears to the Secretary that such a certification is incorrect.

Separately, items 3, 4A, 5, 7 and 8 of Schedule 4 to the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990* (“the Regulations”), which identifies those therapeutic goods that are eligible for listing in the Register, require that, in order for the goods mentioned in each of those items to be eligible for listing, the goods must only contain ingredients that are specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act and must not contravene a requirement in such a determination.

As such, a determination under section 26BB is designed to provide a comprehensive list of ingredients which have been assessed or otherwise considered to be safe for use in listed medicines (including assessed listed medicines), and associated requirements to be followed when using particular ingredients in such products. These requirements may relate to a range of matters including, for example, how an ingredient may be used in a medicine or the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels.

The requirements imposed under the Determination are principally designed to ensure or support the quality and safety of listed medicines that contain permitted ingredients. The requirements may relate, for example, to:

- how a permitted ingredient is to be used in the medicine, that is, as an active, homoeopathic or excipient ingredient;
- the intended method of ingestion or application of the medicine, for example, oral or topical use;
- the source of the ingredient, or procedures to be followed in its manufacture;
- appropriate limits on the volume or concentration of an ingredient; and
- the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels, for example, allergen advice or advice about the use of medicine containing the ingredient for susceptible members of the population such as children and pregnant women.

The Determination incorporates a number of changes in comparison to the former Determination, including in particular:

- the addition of the new ingredient ‘EPA-rich *Nannochloropsis oculata* oil’ for use in listed and assessed listed medicines;
- the addition of the following two ingredients for use as part of flavour proprietary excipient formulations in listed and assessed listed medicines:
 - (-)-menthyl methyl ether; and
 - vanillin acetate;
- updates to the applicable requirements for the ingredient ‘cascarilla oil’ following its evaluation for use in listed and assessed listed medicines as part of flavour proprietary excipient formulations;
- updates to the applicable requirements of 24 ingredients, to reflect that the ingredients are not permitted for use in listed or assessed listed medicines where the ingredients are included in a Schedule to the Poisons Standard;
- the removal of requirements for the ingredient ‘bittern’ to reflect the expiry of the period of exclusive use for the relevant sponsor;
- the removal of duplicative requirements for three ingredients, to reflect that the requirements already separately apply to listed and assessed medicines containing the ingredients under the *Therapeutic Goods Order No. 92 - Standard for labels of non-prescription medicines* (“TGO 92”); and
- the removal of the ingredient ‘methyl methacrylate’, to reflect that this ingredient is included in a Schedule to the Poisons Standard.

Consultation

Between April 2021 and May 2022 the TGA engaged directly with the applicant who applied for the approval of the ingredient EPA-rich *Nannochloropsis oculata* oil, in connection with the application.

The issue of duplicative requirements from the Determination that are already reflected separately in other legislative instruments has been the subject of on-going consultation with the Complementary and Over the Counter Medicines Regulatory and Technical Consultative Forum (“ComTech”) since October 2019. ComTech is a forum that facilitates consultation between the TGA and representatives from the complementary and over the counter medicines industries including Accord Australasia, the Association of Therapeutic Goods Consultants, Complementary Medicines Australia, Consumer Health Products Australia and the Generic and Biosimilar Medicines Association. ComTech members were generally supportive of the removal of legislative duplication; however, members considered that reflections of the stipulations of the Poisons Standard were beneficial for listed and assessed listed medicine sponsors. ComTech members were presented with proposed changes in

October 2021 and April 2022, and members noted their assent to the changes that are implemented in relation to removal of duplicate requirements by this instrument.

The removal of duplicative requirements from the Determination that are already reflected separately in TGO 92 was consulted on with ComTech in November 2020. ComTech were generally supportive.

The Office of Best Practice Regulation (“OBPR”) has previously advised that a regulatory impact statement is not required for updates to determinations made under section 26BB of the Act that are minor or machinery in nature, including the introduction of new permitted ingredients, corrections of errors, clarifications of requirements and ingredient names, changes to reflect scheduling decisions in the Poisons Standard and the outcomes of TGA safety evaluations where the regulatory impacts are minor or machinery in nature (OBPR references 14416, 20999 and 21645).

Incorporation by reference

The Determination references each of the British Pharmacopoeia, European Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopoeia-National Formulary, and the note in section 4 of the Determination makes it clear that each of these pharmacopoeia are those as defined in subsection 3(1) of the Act.

The definitions of the pharmacopoeia in subsection 3(1) of the Act refer to the publications of each as in effect immediately before the commencement of the relevant definition in the Act, and to any subsequent amendments or editions. The intention in this Determination is therefore to adopt the defined meaning of the pharmacopoeia as set out in subsection 3(1) of the Act (an approach permitted by subsection 26BB(8) of the Act). Those pharmacopoeia may be accessed from www.pharmacopoeia.com/, <https://pheur.edqm.eu/home> and www.uspnf.com/.

The Determination also adopts specified applicable monographs in the Food Chemicals Codex (“the FCC”) published by the United States Pharmacopoeial Convention (available at www.foodchemicalscodex.org/) in relation to the following ingredients:

- Glycerol Ester of Partially Hydrogenated Gum Rosin;
- Glyceryl Rosinate;
- Polyisobutylene.

The intended manner of adoption of the FCC is also as it is in force or existing from time to time, as permitted by subsection 26BB(8) of the Act.

The Determination adopts each of the *Animal Products Act 1999* and the *Animal Welfare Act 1999* of New Zealand, and regulations made under these Acts, in relation to two ingredients

(Deer Velvet Antler Powder and Deer Velvet Antler Slice) on the same basis. These Acts and regulations are available for free from www.legislation.govt.nz/.

The Determination also includes a reference to a World Health Organization publication ‘*Expert consultation on oral rehydration salts formulation*’, dated 18 July 2001. This document is available for free from www.rehydrate.org/ors/expert-consultation.html.

The Determination also incorporates matters by reference to the ‘code tables’ and the ‘TGA eBusiness Services’ in section 4. The code tables are defined as the tables accessed via the *Code Tables* item in the *Public TGA Information* menu in TGA eBusiness Services. ‘TGA eBusiness Services’ is defined as meaning TGA eBusiness Services on the Therapeutic Goods Administration website, which may be accessed on the internet at www.ebs.tga.gov.au. Both the code tables accessed in TGA eBusiness Services, and the TGA eBusiness Services, are incorporated as in force or existing from time to time, as permitted by subsection 26BB(8) of the Act. They are both freely available from the TGA website.

While unfortunately the pharmacopoeia and the FCC are not available for free, it is anticipated that the persons most affected by their adoption in this Determination (sponsors of medicines included in the Register and other interested persons in the medicines industry using the Determination), would be in possession of these documents in order to manufacture medicines or ingredients. As important international benchmarks for the safety and quality of therapeutic goods, it would be infeasible from a regulatory perspective (particularly in relation to the safety of listed medicines that are not, for the most part, evaluated before being given marketing approval) to not adopt such benchmarks on the basis that the publications are not available for free.

However, by prior written arrangement with the TGA, members of the public may request to view the pharmacopoeia and the FCC without charge at the TGA office in Fairbairn, ACT.

It should also be noted, in relation to the pharmacopoeia, that the National Library’s Trove online system (www.trove.nla.gov.au/) allows users to identify libraries in Australia that are open to the public where editions (in most cases, earlier editions) of the pharmacopoeia may be viewed (for example, the University of Tasmania or the University of Western Australia in relation to the British Pharmacopoeia). Members of the public may also approach any library that participates in inter-library loans with those university libraries to request an inter-library loan, or to obtain a photocopy of a particular part or monograph for personal study or research (but not for commercial purposes). Fees apply in relation to the making of such a request. Enquiries should be made with local libraries, State libraries and the National Library.

For example, Trove indicates that free access to the 2004 version of the European Pharmacopoeia is available through Open University (www.openlibrary.org/books/OL22071008M/European_pharmacopoeia), and that access to the 1996 edition of the FCC is available at the University of Melbourne Library and the

Hawksbury Campus Library of the Western Sydney University, which are both open to the public.

Details of the Determination are set out in **Attachment A**.

The Determination is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared under section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*. A full statement of compatibility is set out in **Attachment B**.

This Determination is a disallowable legislative instrument for the purposes of the *Legislation Act 2003*, and commences on the day after registration on the Federal Register of Legislation.

Details of the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022*

Section 1 Name

This section provides that the name of the instrument is the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022* (“the Determination”).

Section 2 Commencement

This section provides that the Determination commences on the day after registration on the Federal Register of Legislation.

Section 3 Authority

This section provides that the legislative authority for making the Determination is subsection 26BB(1) of the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”).

Section 4 Interpretation

Subsection 4(1) provides definitions for a number of terms used in the Determination. These include ‘code tables’, ‘excipient’, ‘homoeopathic preparation ingredient’ and ‘TGA eBusiness Services’.

The note to this section also makes it clear that a number of expressions used in the Determination have the same meaning as in the Act, for example ‘British Pharmacopoeia’, ‘European Pharmacopoeia’ and ‘United States Pharmacopoeia-National Formulary’.

Subsection 4(2) provides that the terms set out in closed brackets in column 4 of the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination that are associated with warning statements in relation to particular ingredients, are terms from the code tables under the heading ‘Product Warning’, and are not required to be included on the label of the medicine.

Section 5 Permissible ingredients

This section provides that the ingredients specified in column 2 of the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination are specified for the purposes of paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act.

Section 6 Requirements in relation to permissible ingredients being contained in medicine

This section provides that for an ingredient mentioned in column 2 of an item in the table in Schedule 1 to the Determination, the requirements in paragraphs 6(a) to (c) are specified for

the purposes of paragraph 26BB(1)(b) of the Act. These include, for example, that the ingredient must only be used in a medicine for a purpose specified in relation to the ingredient in column 3 of that item.

Section 7 Repeals

This section provides that the *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3) 2022* is repealed.

Schedule 1 – Specified permissible ingredients and requirements applying to these ingredients when contained in a medicine

This Schedule specifies ingredients and related requirements for the purposes of sections 5 and 6 of the Determination.

Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights

Prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022

This disallowable legislative instrument is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*.

Overview of the Legislative Instrument

The *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 4) 2022* (“the instrument”) is made by a delegate of the Minister under subsection 26BB(1) of the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989* (“the Act”).

The purpose of the instrument is to specify those ingredients that may be contained in a medicine that is listed in the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (“the Register”) under section 26A or 26AE of the Act, and requirements in relation to the inclusion of those ingredients in such medicines. The instrument repeals and replaces the existing *Therapeutic Goods (Permissible Ingredients) Determination (No. 3) 2022* (“the former instrument”).

Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26A of the Act are considered to be low risk and are not individually evaluated before they are listed. Medicines that are listed in the Register under section 26AE of the Act are also considered to be low risk, but are evaluated in relation to whether the efficacy of the medicine for the purposes for which it is to be used has been satisfactorily established (these purposes are specific efficacy claims for which the sponsor of the medicine holds supporting evidence). When listed under section 26AE, these listed medicines are commonly referred to as ‘assessed listed medicines’.

As the safety and quality of medicines listed under section 26A and 26AE are not evaluated by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (“the TGA”) before being given marketing approval, the Act contains mechanisms to help ensure that listed medicines are of appropriate quality and able to be used safely by consumers. In particular, listed medicines may only contain ingredients from an approved list of ingredients that have been evaluated in relation to their quality and safety and suitability for use in such medicines.

Under paragraphs 26A(2)(ca) and (cb) of the Act, persons applying to list a medicine in the Register under section 26A of the Act must certify that their medicine does not contain an ingredient that is not specified in a determination under paragraph 26BB(1)(a) of the Act, and does not contravene a requirement in relation to such an ingredient that is specified in such a determination. Paragraphs 26AB(2)(d) and (e) set out equivalent certification requirements for applicants seeking marketing approval for an assessed listed medicine. A listed (or

assessed listed) medicine may be cancelled from the Register if it appears to the Secretary that such a certification is incorrect.

Compliance with the instrument is also an important part of the criteria for eligibility for listing of medicines in the Register set out in Schedule 4 to the *Therapeutic Goods Regulations 1990*. Medicines that are not eligible for listing because those medicines do not comply with these criteria (including compliance with the instrument) would be required to be registered, rather than listed, in the Register (a considerably more lengthy and costly exercise).

The instrument is designed to provide a comprehensive list of ingredients which have been assessed or otherwise considered to be safe for use in listed (and assessed listed) medicines, and associated requirements to be followed when using particular ingredients in such products, to support the overall safety of these products for consumers.

The requirements imposed under the instrument principally relate to ensuring the quality and safety of the ingredient when used. Requirements may relate, for example, to:

- how the ingredient is to be used in the medicine, that is, as an active, homoeopathic or excipient ingredient;
- the intended method of ingestion or application of the medicine, for example, oral or topical use;
- the source of the ingredient, or procedures to be followed in its manufacture;
- appropriate limits on the volume or concentration of an ingredient; and
- the inclusion of relevant safety information on product labels, for example, allergen advice or advice about the use of medicine containing the ingredient for susceptible members of the population such as children and pregnant women.

The instrument incorporates a number of changes in comparison to the former instrument, including in particular:

- the addition of the new ingredient ‘EPA-rich *Nannochloropsis oculata* oil’ for use in listed and assessed listed medicines;
- the addition of the following two ingredients for use as part of flavour proprietary excipient formulations in listed and assessed listed medicines:
 - (-)-menthyl methyl ether; and
 - vanillin acetate;
- updates to the applicable requirements for the ingredient ‘cascarilla oil’ following its evaluation for use in listed and assessed listed medicines as part of flavour proprietary excipient formulations;
- updates to the applicable requirements of 24 ingredients, to reflect that the ingredients are not permitted for use in listed or assessed listed medicines where the ingredients are included in a Schedule to the Poisons Standard;

- the removal of requirements for the ingredient ‘bittern’, to reflect the expiry of the period of exclusive use for the relevant sponsor;
- the removal of duplicative requirements for three ingredients, to reflect that the applicable requirements separately apply to listed and assessed listed medicines that may contain the ingredients under the *Therapeutic Goods Order No. 92 - Standard for labels of non-prescription medicines*; and
- the removal of the ingredient ‘methyl methacrylate’, to reflect that this ingredient is included in a Schedule to the Poisons Standard.

Human rights implications

The instrument engages the right to health in Article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (“the ICESCR”). Article 12 of the ICESCR promotes the right of all individuals to enjoy the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, and includes an obligation to take reasonable measures within available resources to progressively secure broader enjoyment of the right. In *General Comment No. 14: The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health (Art. 12)* (2000), the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights states that health is a ‘fundamental human right indispensable for the exercise of other human rights’, and that the right to health is not to be understood as the right to be healthy, but includes the right to a system of health protection which provides equal opportunity for people to enjoy the highest attainable level of health.

The instrument takes positive steps to promote the right to health by ensuring the safety and quality of therapeutic goods that are listed medicines. By prescribing those ingredients that are considered to be safe for use in listed medicines, and by setting out important requirements relating to the use of those ingredients in such medicines (such requirements may relate, for example, to maximum concentrations of such ingredients in listed medicines, or to the inclusion of warning statements on medicine labels such as ‘Keep out of reach of children’ for relevant ingredients), the safety of Australian consumers will be better protected, and they will be better able to make informed decisions about such medicines.

This is particularly important for listed medicines, given that those medicines are not evaluated for safety and quality by the TGA prior to listing in the Register, and as they are usually available for self-selection by consumers without a requirement to first obtain the advice or prescription of a registered medical doctor, or the advice of a pharmacist.

Conclusion

This legislative instrument is compatible with human rights because it promotes the right to health in Article 12 of the ICESCR and does not raise any other human rights issues.