# Banking, Insurance, Life Insurance and Superannuation (prudential standard) determination No. 2 of 2022

## **EXPLANATORY STATEMENT**

### Prepared by the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA)

Banking Act 1959, section 11AF

Insurance Act 1973, section 32

Life Insurance Act 1995, section 230A

Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993, section 34C

APRA may, in writing, determine a prudential standard that applies to an APRA-regulated institution under:

- (1) subsection 11AF(1) of the *Banking Act 1959* (Banking Act), which applies to all authorised deposit-taking institutions (ADIs) and authorised non-operating holding companies (authorised NOHCs);
- (2) subsection 32(1) of the *Insurance Act 1973* (Insurance Act), which applies to all general insurers and authorised non-operating holding companies (authorised insurance NOHCs);
- (3) subsection 230A(1) of the *Life Insurance Act 1995* (Life Insurance Act), which applies to all life companies (including friendly societies) and registered non-operating holding companies (registered life NOHCs); and
- (4) subsection 34C(1) of the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993* (SIS Act), which applies to all Registered Superannuation Entity Licensees (RSELs).

APRA may, in writing, vary or revoke a prudential standard that applies to an APRA-regulated institution under:

- (1) subsection 11AF(3) of the Banking Act, which applies to all ADIs and authorised NOHCs;
- (2) subsection 32(4) of the Insurance Act, which applies to all general insurers and authorised insurance NOHCs;
- (3) subsection 230A(5) of the Life Insurance Act, which applies to all life companies (including friendly societies) and registered life NOHCs; and
- (4) subsection 34C(6) of the SIS Act, which applies to all RSELs.
- On 1 December 2022, APRA made Banking, Insurance, Life Insurance and Superannuation (prudential standard) determination No. 2 of 2022 (the instrument), which revokes *Prudential Standard CPS 226 Margining and Risk Mitigation for Non-Centrally Cleared Derivatives* made under Banking, Insurance, Life Insurance and Superannuation (prudential standard) determination No. 1 of 2022, and determines a

new Prudential Standard CPS 226 Margining and Risk Mitigation for Non-Centrally Cleared Derivatives (CPS 226).

The instrument commences on 1 January 2023.

### 1. Background

APRA recently released a new suite of prudential standards that impose regulatory capital requirements (the new capital standards) on ADIs for the purpose of ensuring ADIs hold sufficient capital to address the risks associated with their operations. The changes follow recommendations from the 2014 Financial System Inquiry to increase capital requirements for ADIs such that they meet 'unquestionably strong' capital benchmarks and to meet Australia's commitment for internationally agreed standards for prudential regulation for ADIs by implementing capital standards based on the framework agreed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS).

The new capital standards, which come into effect from 1 January 2023, necessitate a series of consequential amendments to other existing prudential standards to ensure consistency of APRA's broader prudential framework with the new capital standards. The key changes include ensuring APRA's broader prudential framework reflects changes made in the new capital standards and updating cross references to the new capital standards. The minor and machinery change made in CPS 226 relates to referencing only.

### 2. Purpose and operation of the instrument

The purpose of the instrument is to revoke CPS 226 and replace it with a new version of CPS 226.

The new version of CPS 226 includes a minor reference change that is necessary as a consequence of the new capital standards which come into effect on 1 January 2023.

Where CPS 226 refers to an Act, Regulation, prudential standard or Australian Accounting Standard, this is a reference to the document as it exists from time to time, and which is available on the Federal Register of Legislation at www.legislation.gov.au.

CPS 226 also incorporates by reference the BCBS and the Board of the International Organization of Securities Commission (IOSCO)'s *Margin requirements for non-centrally cleared derivatives* as it exists at 23 July 2019 (BCBS-IOSCO framework) and the IOSCO's *Risk Mitigation Standards for Non-centrally Cleared OTC Derivatives* as they exist at 28 January 2015 (IOSCO Risk Mitigation Standards). These documents are available at: BCBS-IOSCO framework: <a href="https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf">https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf</a>; and IOSCO Risk Mitigation Standards: <a href="https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf">https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf</a>; and IOSCO Risk Mitigation Standards: <a href="https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf">https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d475.pdf</a>; and IOSCO Risk Mitigation Standards:

CPS 226 provides for APRA to exercise various discretions. Decisions made by APRA exercising those discretions are not subject to merits review. This is because

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Australian Accounting Standards is defined in *Prudential Standard APS 001 Definitions* as the Australian Accounting Standards issued by the Australian Accounting Standards Board as may be amended from time to time.

these decisions are preliminary decisions that may facilitate or lead to substantive decisions which are subject to merits review.

Under the Banking Act, Insurance Act and Life Insurance Act, a breach of a prudential standard is a breach of the enabling legislation, as each enabling Act provides that regulated entities must comply with the standard. Under the SIS Act, it is a condition on all RSE licences that the RSE licensee must comply with the RSE licensee law, which includes prudential standards. However there are no penalties prescribed for breach of the prudential standards under any of these Acts. Instead an entity's breach of the enabling legislation or RSE licence condition is grounds for APRA to make further, substantive decisions under the relevant enabling legislation in relation to the entity. Those decisions may include the decision:

- (a) to revoke an authority to carry on banking business (section 9A of the Banking Act); a banking NOHC authorisation (section 11AB of the Banking Act); an authority to carry on insurance business (section 15 of the Insurance Act); an insurance NOHC authorisation (section 21 of the Insurance Act); registration of life insurance business (section 26 of the Life Insurance Act); a life NOHC authorisation (section 28C of the Life Insurance Act); or an authority to operate an APRA-regulated superannuation fund (section 29G of the SIS Act); and
- (b) to issue a direction to the regulated entity, including a direction to comply with the whole or part of a prudential standard (section 11CA of the Banking Act, section 104 of the Insurance Act, section 230B of the Life Insurance Act or section 131D of the SIS Act).

It is only at this stage that an entity is exposed to a penalty: loss of licence or imposition of a penalty if it breaches the direction (50 penalty units each day under section 11CG of the Banking Act, section 108 of the Insurance Act and section 230F of the Life Insurance Act, 100 penalty units each day under section 131DD of the SIS Act). In nearly all cases, the decisions are preceded by a full consultation with the regulated entity to raise any concerns they may have in relation to the decision.

The decisions of APRA to impose a direction are subject to merits review (section 11CA of the Banking Act, section 104 of the Insurance Act, section 236 of the Life Insurance Act), which is appropriately available at the point where an entity could be exposed to a penalty.

All decisions to revoke authorisations/registrations under the Banking Act, Insurance Act and Life Insurance Act are subject to merits review, unless specifically excluded by the enabling legislation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The exception is section 54B of the SIS Act, which provides that breach of a covenant under sections 52 or 52A is a civil penalty provision. The covenants include a requirement to comply with prudential standards in relation to specified topics (conflicts, capital requirements for operational risk, MySuper and choice products). CPS 226 is not a standard in relation to any of these topics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Banking Act, Insurance Act and Life Insurance Act specifically provide that APRA does not need to consult where APRA is satisfied that doing so could result in a delay in revocation that would be contrary to the national interest or the interests of depositors with the body corporate (subsection 9A(4) of the Banking Act), contrary to the national interest (subsection 15(4) of the Insurance Act), or contrary to the public interest (subsection 26(5) of the Life Insurance Act), respectively. Consultation is not specifically required under the SIS Act.

Revocation of an authorisation to carry on banking business or a banking NOHC authorisation is subject to merits review unless either:

- (a) APRA has determined that access to natural justice and merits review is contrary to the national interest or contrary to the interests of depositors with the body corporate; or
- (b) (in the case of ADIs only) the authority is an authority that is to cease to have effect on a day specified in the authority (section 9A(8) of the Banking Act).

Revocation of an authorisation to carry on insurance business or an insurance NOHC authorisation is subject to merits review (ss 15 and 21 of the Insurance Act).

Revocation of registration as a life insurance company or a life NOHC authorisation is subject to merits review (section 236 of the Life Insurance Act).

#### 3. Consultation

APRA undertook public consultation on its proposed consequential amendments to the ADI capital reforms in July to August 2022. In October 2022 APRA released a letter to industry that outlines feedback from the July 2022 consultation and summarises the amendments.<sup>4</sup>

## 4. Regulation Impact Statement

The Office of Impact Analysis has advised that no Regulation Impact Statement is required as the changes to CPS 226 are minor and machinery.

# 5. Statement of compatibility prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011*

A Statement of compatibility prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights* (*Parliamentary Scrutiny*) *Act 2011* is provided at Attachment A to this Explanatory Statement.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Revisions to the capital framework for authorised deposit-taking institutions | APRA

### ATTACHMENT A

### **Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights**

Prepared in accordance with Part 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act* 2011

# Banking, Insurance, Life Insurance and Superannuation (prudential standard) determination No. 2 of 2022

The legislative instrument is compatible with the human rights and freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the *Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011* (HRPS Act).

### **Overview of the Legislative Instrument**

The purpose of Banking, Insurance, Life Insurance and Superannuation (prudential standard) determination No. 2 of 2022 (the instrument) is to revoke *Prudential Standard CPS 226 Margining and Risk Mitigation for Non-Centrally Cleared Derivatives* (CPS 226) and replace it with a new version of CPS 226.

CPS 226 applies to institutions in the banking, general insurance, life insurance and superannuation industries. Under CPS 226, an entity that actively transacts in noncentrally cleared derivatives is required to exchange collateral as appropriate to those transactions to manage the risk of counterparty default, and to have policies and procedures to manage its risks in undertaking the derivatives activity. The instrument provides for a minor reference change that is necessary as a consequence of the changes to the capital requirements for authorised deposit-taking institutions in prudential standards (made under the *Banking Act 1959*) which come into effect on 1 January 2023.

### **Human rights implications**

APRA has assessed the instrument and is of the view that it does not engage any of the applicable rights or freedoms recognised or declared in the international instruments listed in section 3 of the HRPS Act. Accordingly, in APRA's assessment, the instrument is compatible with human rights.

### Conclusion

The instrument is compatible with human rights as it does not raise any human rights issues.